

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPURSINGLE BENCH: HON'BLE SHRI H.L. DATTU, C.J.Writ Petition No.999 of 1992Petitioners

1. M/s. C.R. Virmani and Company a partnership firm duly registered under the partnership Act, and carrying on the business of liquor contractors with its principal place of business at Prem Kunj, Civil Lines, Raipur, M.P.
2. Shri Ashwini Sethi, aged about 28 years, son of Shri T.R. Sethi, partner M/s C.R. Virmani & Company, resident of Prem Kunj, Civil Lines, Raipur (M.P.)

VersusRespondents

1. Union of India, represented by the Secretary to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Communications, Department of Telecommunications, Sanchar Bhawan, 20, Ashok Road, New Delhi.
2. The Telecom. District Engineer, Raipur Telecom. District, Raipur, M.P.
3. The General Manager, Telecommunications, Raipur Circle, Raipur, M.P.

Present: Shri B.P.Sharma, counsel for the petitioners.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 16th March, 2007)

The petitioners, being aggrieved by the disconnection of telephone bearing No.427878 and also the threatening given by the respondents to disconnect telephone bearing No.424142 for non-payment of the bill belonging to the partnership firm of the petitioners, are before me in this writ petition.

(2) In my opinion, for the relief sought for in this writ petition, the petitioners require to approach the competent authority under the provisions of Section 7-B of the Indian Telegraph Act (henceforth 'the Act').

(67)

(3) In that view of the matter, I decline to entertain this writ petition. Accordingly, the writ petition is rejected. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioners to approach the competent authority under the Act within two months' time from today. If a ^{Petition} representation is filed by the petitioners within the time granted by this Court before the competent authority under the Act, it shall decide the same in accordance with law within a reasonable period. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
Chief Justice